

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,177	11/02/2001	Bruce J. Sabacky	10225/21 (A18)	1846
7590 06/03/2004		,	EXAMINER	
G. Peter Nichols			JOHNSON, EDWARD M	
BRINKS HOFE	ER GILSON & LIONE			
P.O. BOX 10395			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60610			1754	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	10/053,177	SABACKY ET AL.		
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit	_	
	Edward M. Johnson	1754		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 17 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a name places the application in		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing if ILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extensio unt of the fee. The appropriate extensic originally set in the final Office action; or	n on	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:			
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);		
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment		
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: 21 and 22.				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5,6,10,14,15 and 19</u> .				
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,7-9,11-13,16-18,20,23 and 24.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.		
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
10. Other:	/ r = -1077=			
				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment would further specify a sequential order of steps and a solution further containing a particular acid, either of which would be a new issue requiring further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is argued throughout that the claimes, as amended, would be allowable. This is not persuasive because Applicant appears to admit that hydrolysis is disclosed and also because the amendment has not yet been entered. It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the features of the proposed amendment) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

STANLEY S. SILVERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700